MASTER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
X	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
	VERDICT SHEET 99 CR 466 (BMC) (S-4)
JOAQUIN ARCHIVALDO GUZMAN LOERA,	
Defendant.	
X	
Count One (Engaging in a Continuing Criminal Enterp	orise)
Verdict on Count One:	
Guilty Not Guilty	
Answer the following question only if you have for count.	and the defendant guilty of this
Indicate below which three or more violations you reasonable doubt were part of a continuing series o	
Charge: International Cocaine Distribution with the	e Norte del Valle Cartel
Violation One (International Distribution of January 2005)	Cocaine – 3,200 kilograms –
Proven Not Pro	ven
Violation Two (International Distribution of August-September 2004)	f Cocaine – 12,000 kilograms –
Proven Not Pro	ven
Violation Three (International Distribution August-September 2004)	of Cocaine - 10,500 kilograms -
Proven Not Pro	ven

Violation Four (International July 2004)	al Distribution of Cocaine - 10,000 kilograms -
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Five (International May-June 2004)	d Distribution of Cocaine - 10,000 kilograms -
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Six (International 2004)	Distribution of Cocaine – 800 kilograms – April
Proven	Not Proven
March-April 2004)	nal Distribution of Cocaine - 10,000 kilograms -
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Eight (Internation January-March 2004)	nal Distribution of Cocaine – 8,000 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Nine (Internation January 2004)	al Distribution of Cocaine - 6,465 kilograms -
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Ten (Internationa November-December 2003	al Distribution of Cocaine – 6,000 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Eleven (International August-September 2003)	onal Distribution of Cocaine - 3,600 kilograms -
Proven	Not Proven
April 21, 1993)	ional Distribution of Cocaine - 7,300 kilograms -
Proven V	Not Proven

Charge: International Distribution of	f Cocaine with the Cifuentes-Villa Organization
Violation Thirteen (Internation December 2008)	onal Distribution of Cocaine - 450 kilograms -
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Fourteen (Internati October 2009)	onal Distribution of Cocaine - 8,300 kilograms -
Proven	Not Proven
February 2009)	nal Distribution of Cocaine - 7,500 kilograms -
Proven	Not Proven
Charge: International Distribution o	f Cocaine with Other South American Suppliers
- September 2008)	onal Distribution of Cocaine - 4,716 kilograms
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Seventeen (Interna - September 2008)	ational Distribution of Cocaine - 5,000 kilograms
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Eighteen (Internati - March 2007)	ional Distribution of Cocaine – 19,000 kilograms
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Nineteen (Internat January 2014)	ional Distribution of Cocaine - 403 kilograms -
Proven V	Not Proven

Charge: Distribution of Cocaine	e, Heroin, and Marijuana
Violation Twenty (Distr 2003)	ibution of Cocaine - 1,997 kilograms - January
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Twenty-One (2002)	Distribution of Cocaine - 1,952 kilograms - August
Proven	Not Proven
2002)	(Distribution of Cocaine - 1,923 kilograms - May
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Twenty-Three September 1999)	e (Distribution of Cocaine – 1,100 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
2012)	(Distribution of Marijuana – 409 kilograms – January
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Twenty-Five (2008)	(Distribution of Heroin – 20 kilograms – November
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Twenty-Six (Distribution of Cocaine – 926 kilograms – May 1990)
Proven	Not Proven
Answer the following question Two, Three, or Four.	only if you have found the defendant guilty of Counts
Violation Twenty-Sever	n (Conspiracy to Commit Murder - January 1989-

Not Proven _____

September 2014)

Proven

Answer the following	questions	only if	you have	e found	the defe	endant s	guilty	of Count
One.	-%	(=5==	3					

One.		
	violation that you have de kilograms of cocaine?	ed beyond a reasonable doubt that at least one termined to be proven involved at least 150
	Yes	No
	received \$10 million or m	ed beyond a reasonable doubt that the enterprise fore in gross receipts during at least one 12-month fore, importation, or distribution of cocaine?
	Yes	No
	one of several principal ac	ed beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant was dministrators, organizers, or leaders of the enterprise?
	Yes	No
	International Cocaine, He and Distribution Consp	eroin, Methamphetamine and Marijuana iracy)
Verdict on Co	ount Two:	
Guilty		Not Guilty
Answer count.		nly if you have found the defendant guilty of this
	Has the government provinvolved five kilograms of	ed beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense or more of cocaine?
	Yes	No
	Has the government provinvolved one kilogram or	ed beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense more of heroin?
	Yes	No
	involved 500 grams or me	ed beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense ore of methamphetamine?
	Yes	No

Has the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved 1,000 kilograms or more of marijuana?
Yes No
Count Three (Cocaine Importation Conspiracy)
Verdict on Count Three:
Guilty Not Guilty
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty of this count.
Has the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved five kilograms or more of cocaine?
Yes No
Count Four (Cocaine Distribution Conspiracy)
Verdict on Count Four:
Guilty Not Guilty
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty of this count.
Has the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved five kilograms or more of cocaine?
Yes No
Count Five (International Distribution of Cocaine)
Note: This is the same offense charged as Violation Thirteen in Count One.
Verdict on Count Five:
Guilty Not Guilty
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty of this count.

Has the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved five kilograms or more of cocaine?
Yes No
Count Six (International Distribution of Cocaine)
Note: This is the same offense charged as Violation Two in Count One.
Verdict on Count Six:
Guilty Not Guilty
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty of this count.
Has the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved five kilograms or more of cocaine?
Yes No
Count Seven (International Distribution of Cocaine)
Note: This is the same offense charged as Violation Three in Count One.
Verdict on Count Seven:
Guilty Not Guilty
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty of this count.
Has the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved five kilograms or more of cocaine?
Yes No
Count Eight (International Distribution of Cocaine)
Note: This is the same offense charged as Violation Eight in Count One.
Verdict on Count Eight:
Guilty Not Guilty
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty of this count.

	proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense ams or more of cocaine?
Yes	No
Count Nine (Use of Firearms)	
Note: Only consider this charge if charges in Counts One through For	you have found the defendant guilty of one or more of the ur.
Verdict on Count Nine:	
Guilty	Not Guilty
Answer the following quest count.	tion only if you have found the defendant guilty of this
firearms at issue in (proved beyond a reasonable doubt that one or more of the Count Nine was brandished?
Yes _	No
firearms at issue in C	proved beyond a reasonable doubt that one or more of the Count Nine was discharged?
Yes _	No
	proved beyond a reasonable doubt that one or more of the Count Nine was a machinegun?
Yes	No
Count Ten (Conspiracy to Laun	der Narcotics Proceeds)
Verdict on Count Ten:	
Guilty	Not Guilty
Dated: Brooklyn, New York	
February 12, 2019	Foreperson